

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/938,644	RUEGER, NEAL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anita K Alanko	1765	

All Participants:

Status of Application: pending

(1) Anita K Alanko.

(3) ____.

(2) Ryan Flax.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 4 October 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Clarified reasons for sending out non-responsive as to including claim language from the examiner's amendment in the claims.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Anita K. Alanko
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)